1	Dawniell Alise Zavala (CA State Bar No. 253130)		
	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP		
2	560 Mission Street, 25 th Floor		
3	San Francisco, CA 94105-2994		
	Telephone: (415) 268-2000		
4	Facsimile: (415) 268-1999		
5	Email: dawniell.zavala@hro.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs, BMG MUSIC; SONY BMG MUSIC		
7	ENTERTAINMENT; WARNER BROS.		
8	RECORDS INC.; INTERSCOPE RECORDS;		
8	ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT GROUP INC.;		
9	MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P.;		
10	LAFACE RECORDS LLC; FONOVISA, INC.;		
10	and UMG RECORDINGS, INC.		
11	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
12	SAN JOSE		
13	Shirt Jobb	DIVISION	
13			
14	BMG MUSIC, a New York general partnership;	CASE NO. 5:07-CV-06034-RMW	
1.5	SONY BMG MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT, a	HON DONALD M WHYTE	
15	Delaware general partnership; WARNER BROS.	HON. RONALD M. WHYTE	
16	RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation;	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND	
	INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California general	TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND	
17	partnership; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
18	GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation;		
	MOTOWN RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a		
19	California limited partnership; LAFACE		
20	RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited liability		
20	company; FONOVISA, INC., a California corporation; and UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a		
21	Delaware corporation,		
	Belaware corporation,		
22	Plaintiffs,		
23	Tamerro,		
	v.		
24	IOHN DOE		
25	JOHN DOE, Defendant.		
25	Detendant.		
26		ı	
27			
41			
28			

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 5:07-CV-06034-RMW #39592 V1

Plaintiffs respectfully request, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 4(m) and 6(b)(1)(A), that the Court grant an additional 90 days to serve Defendant with the Summons and Complaint. As further explained below, Plaintiffs have believe they have discovered the identity of the Doe defendant in this case and have contacted this person in an attempt to resolve the dispute without further litigation. Plaintiffs thus seek additional time to effectuate service in the event the dispute is not resolved and Plaintiffs file a First Amended Complaint naming Defendant individually. In support of their request, Plaintiffs state as follows:

- 1. The current deadline for service of process is August 22, 2008. The initial case management conference is set for October 17, 2008, at 10:30 a.m. The case management conference and service deadline were both previously continued twice upon Plaintiffs' request.
- 2. Plaintiffs filed their Complaint for Copyright Infringement against Defendant John Doe ("Defendant") on November 29, 2007. Plaintiffs did not have sufficient identifying information to name Defendant in the Complaint, but were able to identify Defendant by the Internet Protocol address assigned to Defendant by Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP") here, University of California, Berkeley.
- 3. In order to determine Defendant's true name and identity, Plaintiffs filed their *Ex Parte* Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery on November 29, 2007, requesting that the Court enter an Order allowing Plaintiffs to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP.
- 4. The Court entered an Order for Leave to take Immediate Discovery on March 19, 2008, which Plaintiffs then served upon the ISP along with a Rule 45 subpoena. On April 30, 2008, the ISP responded to Plaintiffs' subpoena, providing Plaintiffs with identifying information including a name, telephone number, and address.
- 5. Plaintiffs sent a letter to the individual identified by the ISP on May 13, 2008 notifying her of their claims for copyright infringement and encouraging her to make contact to attempt to amicably resolve this matter. Thereafter, settlement discussions took place with Defendant, and settlement negotiations are presently ongoing.

7 ||

///

///

///

- 6. Although Plaintiffs initially anticipated that, by now, this matter would have either settled or that Plaintiffs' First Amended Complaint would have been filed, settlement negotiations were delayed in this matter because Plaintiffs sought verification of Defendant's residence in Mexico and requested additional financial information from Defendant to determine whether she is eligible for a reduced settlement. Plaintiffs have confirmed that Defendant maintains dual U.S.-Mexican citizenship and currently lives in Chihuahua, Mexico. Plaintiffs have now offered Defendant a reduced settlement and are presently awaiting Defendant's response to this offer.
- 7. If the Defendant agrees to settle by September 15, 2008 or shortly thereafter, Plaintiffs will file appropriate dispositional documents with the Court. If not, Plaintiffs will file a First Amended Complaint naming the Defendant in this case, and then proceed to serve process upon her.
- 8. Given the circumstances of this case, Plaintiffs respectfully request an additional 90 days to effectuate service.
- 9. Plaintiffs submit that their efforts to provide written notification to Defendant of their claims and resolve the case before naming her in the lawsuit constitute good cause for any delay in perfecting service. *See Ritts v. Dealers Alliance Credit Corp.*, 989 F. Supp. 1475, 1479 (N.D. Ga. 1997) (stating good cause standard for service extensions). Moreover, unlike a traditional case in which the defendant is known by name and efforts to serve can begin immediately after filing the complaint, in this case Plaintiffs first had to obtain the identity of the defendant through the subpoena to the ISP. This Court has discretion to enlarge the time to serve even where there is no good cause shown. *Henderson v. United States*, 517 U.S. 654, 658 n. 5 (1996).
- 10. Because the copyright infringements here occurred in 2007, the three-year limitations period for these claims has not expired. *See* 17 U.S.C. § 507(b) (2000). There can thus be no prejudice to the Defendant from any delay in serving the Complaint.

1	11. Plaintiffs will provide the Defendant with a copy of this request and any Order	
2	concerning this request when service of process occurs.	
3		
4	Dated: August 22, 2007 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP	
5		
6	By: /s/ Dawniell Alise Zavala	
7	DAWNIELL ALISE ZAVALA Attorney for Plaintiffs	
8		
9		
10	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
11	Good cause having been shown:	
12	IT IS ORDERED that, pursuant to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, Rules 4(m) and	
13	6(b)(1), Plaintiffs' time to serve the Summons and Complaint on Defendant be extended to	
14	November 21, 2008.	
15		
16		
17		
18	Dated: By: Honorable Ronald M. Whyte	
19	United States District Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	3	

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO EXTEND TIME TO SERVE DEFENDANT AND [PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 5:07-CV-06034-RMW #39592 V1